	Case 1:22-cv-01191-KES-CDB	Document 77	Filed 09/06/24	Page 1 of 4	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	JOSHUA FORSTER,	Cas	e No.: 1:22-cv-01	191-KES-CDB (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,		ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF THE COURT TO TERMINATE MOTION		
13	v.		FILED MAY 23, 2024		
14	STEPHANIE CLENDENIN, et al.	, (Do	c. 66)		
15	Defendants.		DER DIRECTIN TICE OF RELA	IG COUNSEL TO FILE TED CASES	
16 17		JOI		IG PARTIES TO FILE PORT NO LATER 1, 2024	
18				,	
19	Plaintiff Joshua Forster is a civil detainee proceeding though counsel in this civil rights				
20	action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds against Defendants Clendening				
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	and Price for violations of Plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment rights and related state law				
23	violations.				
24	I. RELEVANT BACKGROUND				
25	The Court issued its Order Re Plaintiff's Motions for Leave to Propound Additional				
26	Interrogatories on May 30, 2024, resolving three discovery motions. (Doc. 68.) On that date, a				
27	fourth discovery motion, filed May 23, 2024, remained pending resolution. (Doc. 66.)				
28					

### Case 1:22-cv-01191-KES-CDB Document 77 Filed 09/06/24 Page 2 of 4

Following the submission of proposed substitutions of attorney (Docs. 72, 73), on July 1, 2024, the Court issued its Order Granting Plaintiff's Substitution of Attorney. (Doc. 74.)

On July 29, 2024, the Court issued its Order Directing Parties to Meet and Confer and to File Joint Status Report Within 21 Days. (Doc. 75.) More specifically, the parties were to "meet and confer concerning the discovery motion to determine whether partial or full resolution of the discovery dispute can be reached without Court intervention" and to "detail and explain their meet and confer efforts in the joint status report and state what dispute(s) remain pending, if any, following their conference." (*Id.* at 2.)

The parties filed a joint status report on August 19, 2024. (Doc. 76.)

### II. DISCUSSION

# The Joint Status Report

In the joint status report, the parties advise they agree Plaintiff's pending discovery motion may be deemed withdrawn. (Doc. 76 at 2.)

Next, the parties advise they "are drafting a stipulation and proposed order to consolidate" this matter with two other cases pending in this district: (1) *Stephenson v. Clendenin*, case number 2:22-cv-01521-DAD-JDP; and (2) *Bodnar v. Clendenin*, case number 2:22-cv-01533-DAD-AC. (Doc. 76 at 2.) The plaintiffs in all three actions are represented by the same counsel and all three actions involve alleged due process violations related to the conditions of confinement at the Department of State Hospitals-Coalinga. (*Id.*) Each of the other actions involve Defendants Clendenin and Price, and while the *Bodnar* action also names Governor Newsom, the parties are finalizing a stipulation to dismiss Newsom without prejudice. (*Id.*) The parties note that discovery in this action and the *Stephenson* matter has been ongoing for several months, while discovery has not yet commenced in the *Bodnar* matter. (*Id.*)

The parties state that should the Court consolidate the three cases, they "will need to be transferred or assigned to one of the three judges for all pre-trial purposes," and that judge "would then need to adjudicate any motions to dismiss filed by Defendants to Bodnar's operative third amended complaint, and to "any new, attorney-drafted amended complaints" in this action and in the *Stephenson* matter before issuance of a consolidated scheduling order. (Doc. 76 at 2-3.)

## Case 1:22-cv-01191-KES-CDB Document 77 Filed 09/06/24 Page 3 of 4

Defendants note that they anticipate signing service waivers by the end of August, making a responsive pleading due in the *Bodnar* matter by the end of September. (*Id.* at 3.)

The parties note that Plaintiff's counsel is presently reviewing the extensive written discovery in this matter and in *Stephenson* to determine what additional discovery may be necessary. (Doc. 76 at 3.) Further, because the parties agree that discovery conducted in one case may be used in the others, Defendants will object to any duplicative discovery requests. (*Id.*) Next, the parties indicate counsel for the three plaintiffs plans to conduct depositions of Clendenin and Price and other employees; the parties indicate they agree that witnesses may only be deposed once, and their testimony may be used in all three cases. (*Id.*) Plaintiff reserves the right to seek additional depositions from the same witness if discovery reveals additional information requiring additional testimony. (*Id.*) Defendants reserve the right to object to the number of depositions that counsel seeks if excessive, and to oppose any further deposition. (*Id.*)

Finally, the parties state they are amenable to filing a further joint status report in 60 days, or at the Court's convenience, when additional details are available concerning discovery and scheduling. (Doc. 76 at 3.)

## Analysis

Because the parties advise that they agree Plaintiff's pending discovery motion may be deemed withdrawn, the undersigned will direct the Clerk of the Court to terminate the motion.

Next, the Court notes that a Notice of Related Cases has not been filed in this action. This Court's Local Rule 123(b) provides as follows:

Counsel who has reason to believe that an action on file or about to be filed may be related to another action on file (whether or not dismissed or otherwise terminated) shall promptly file in each action and serve on all parties in each action a Notice of Related Cases. This notice shall set forth the title and number of each possibly related action, together with a brief statement of their relationship and the reasons why assignment to a single Judge and/or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a savings of judicial effort and other economies. The Clerk shall notify the Judges and Magistrate Judges to whom the actions are assigned promptly of such filing.

Based on the parties' reported agreement in principle that the relevant matters should be consolidated, the Court perceives that the Rule 123(b) factors cited above likewise weigh in favor

# of relating the cases. Accordingly, Plaintiff's counsel will be directed to file a Notice of Related 1 2 Cases, in accordance with Local Rule 123(b), in this action and in the Stephenson and Bodnar 3 matters. 4 Lastly, the Court will direct the parties to file another joint status report by October 1, 2024. If at that time the parties have not yet submitted a stipulation concerning consolidation of 5 6 this matter, they shall address in detail the status of those efforts and the procedural posture of the 7 Stephenson and Bodnar matters as it stands at that time. 8 III. CONCLUSION AND ORDER 9 Accordingly, this Court **ORDERS**: 10 1. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to terminate, or mark as resolved, the pending 11 discovery motion (Doc. 66); 12 2. Plaintiff's counsel **SHALL** file a Notice of Related Cases in this action, as well as in 13 the Stephenson and Bodnar actions, in accordance with Local Rule 123, within 14 14 days of the date of this Order; and 15 3. The parties SHALL file a joint status report in this action no later than October 1, 16 <u>2024</u>. 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 September 6, 2024 Dated: UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Case 1:22-cv-01191-KES-CDB Document 77 Filed 09/06/24 Page 4 of 4

28